REMARKS

The specification is amended as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al., U.S. Patent 4,249,967 in view of Dixon et al., U.S. Patent 3,877,052. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. Claim 1 as amended recites "a metal contact . . . [that] is at least partially transparent." (Emphasis added.) Applicants can find no such teaching in either Liu et al. or Dixon et al. Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable over Liu et al. and Dixon et al. Claim 2 is canceled, rendering its rejection moot.

Claim 3 is amended to include the limitations of claim 5. Applicants thank the Examiner for stating that claims 5-9 and 11 are allowable if amended into independent form.

In view of the above arguments, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-13. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (408) 382-0480.

Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Keitliman

Date

Respectfully submitted,

Rachel V. Leiterman Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 46,868

PATENT LAW GROUP ILP MM N. FIRST ST. SUITE 223 MM JOSE, CA. 93134 (408) 382-0480